

BRIGGS AND MORGAN

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL

(612) 977-8246

WRITER'S E-MAIL

pschenkenberg@briggs.com

September 7, 2006

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Beth O'Donnell
Executive Director
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

RECEIVED

SEP 08 2006

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

**Re: Petition of Mountain Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc., For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With Celco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996
Case No. 2006-00296**

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

Enclosed herewith please find for filing with the Commission copies of the following documents in the above-referenced matter.

- Verizon Wireless' Petition for Confidential Treatment;
- Affidavit of Elaine Critides in Support of Petition for Confidential Treatment;
 - Confidential Exhibit 1;
 - Exhibit 1 with confidential information redacted (10 copies);
- T-Mobile's Petition for Confidential Treatment;
- Affidavit of Dan Williams in Support of Petition for Confidential Treatment.
 - Confidential Exhibit 1;
 - Exhibit 1 with confidential information redacted (10 copies).

T-Mobile and Verizon Wireless have served written responses to Petitioners' Interrogatories and Document Requests, and have filed those responses in Case No. 2006-00215. Those responses apply to this Petitioner as well. Exhibit 1 to each of these responses is specific to this Petitioner, and that Exhibit 1 is the information for which confidential treatment has been requested.

BRIGGS AND MORGAN

Beth O'Donnell
September 7, 2006
Page 2

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you should have any questions concerning this filing.

Very truly yours,


Philip R. Schenkenberg

PRS/smo
Enclosures

cc: John Selent
James Dean Liebman (w/o confidential information)
Bhagin M. Modi (w/o confidential information)
William G. Francis (w/o confidential information)
Thomas Sams (w/o confidential information)
NTCH-West, Inc. (w/o confidential information)

**COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

In the Matter of:

Petition of Mountain Rural Telephone Cooperative)
Corporation, Inc. for Arbitration of Certain Terms)
and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection)
Agreement With Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon)
Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest)
Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky)
RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless,)
Pursuant to the Communications Act of 1934, as)
Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996)

Case No. 2006-00296

RECEIVED

SEP 08 2006

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

**VERIZON WIRELESS'S PETITION FOR
CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT**

Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership (“Verizon Wireless”), by its undersigned counsel, and pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 7, hereby petitions the Kentucky Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for an Order granting confidential treatment to Exhibit 1 to Verizon Wireless’ Response to Petitioner’s First Information Requests filed in the above dockets as follows:


1. Petitioner has requested, and Verizon Wireless will provide, certain information regarding minutes of usage (“MOU”) exchanged between Verizon Wireless and Petitioner. The disclosure of such proprietary information would result in irreparable competitive harm to Verizon Wireless by providing its competitors with a non-reciprocal competitive advantage. No public purpose is served by the disclosure of such information, and the Regulations of the Commission contemplate the filing of such information under a Confidentiality Order in proceedings such as this. Additional grounds for this requested relief are stated in the attached affidavit of Elaine Critides which is attached as Exhibit A hereto. The information for which

confidential treatment is requested is attached to this filing. Verizon Wireless requests that the information be identified as “Confidential Data” and protected from disclosure by the Commission.

2. Verizon Wireless and Petitioner agreed to the contents of the Confidentiality Agreement in mid-August. On August 16, 2006 and August 17, 2006, Verizon Wireless provided Petitioner the Confidentiality Agreement with executed signature pages from all interested CMRS Providers. Despite additional follow-ups by Verizon Wireless, Petitioner has not executed the Confidentiality Agreement. Verizon Wireless has not served this Petition and will not produce the applicable Exhibit 1 to Petitioner until Petitioner signs the Confidentiality Agreement and returns an executed copy to Verizon Wireless.

WHEREFORE, Verizon Wireless respectfully requests that the Commission enter all necessary Orders granting confidential treatment to the Confidential Data.

Dated: September 1, 2006

By: 
Philip R. Schenkenberg
BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A.
2200 IDS Center
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
(612) 977-8400
(612) 977-8650 (fax)
pschenkenberg@briggs.com

Kendrick R. Riggs
Douglas F. Brent
STOLL KEENON OGDEN PLLC
2000 PNC Plaza
500 West Jefferson Street
Louisville, Kentucky 40202
(502) 333-6000
(502) 627-8722 (fax)
kendrick.riggs@skofirm.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR CELLCO
PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON
WIRELESS, GTE WIRELESS OF THE
MIDWEST INCORPORATED, AND
KENTUCKY RSA NO. 1 PARTNERSHIP
(VERIZON WIRELESS™)**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of **VERIZON WIRELESS'S PETITION FOR CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION** was on this 7th day of September, 2006 served via electronic and United States mail, postage prepaid to the following:

John E. Selent
DINSMORE & SHOHL, LLP
1400 PNC Plaza
500 West Jefferson Street
Louisville, Kentucky 40202

William G. Francis
FRANCIS, KENDRICK AND FRANCIS
First Commonwealth Bank Building
311 North Arnold Avenue, Suite 504
P.O. Box 268
Prestonburg, Kentucky 41653-0268

James Dean Liebman
LIEBMAN & LIEBMAN
403 West Main Street
P.O. Box 478
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602


Thomas Sams
NTCH, INC.
1600 Ute Avenue, Suite 10
Grand Junction, Colorado 81501

Bhogin M. Modi
COMSCAPE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
1926 10th Avenue, North
Suite 305
West Palm Beach, Florida 33461

NTCH-WEST, INC.
1970 N. Highland Avenue
Suite E
Jackson, Tennessee 38305

The undersigned also hereby certifies that a copy of the unredacted **CONFIDENTIAL EXHIBIT 1** was on this th day of September, 2006 served via electronic and United States mail, postage prepaid to the following:

John E. Selent, Esq.
Dinsmore & Shohl
1400 PNC Plaza
500 West Jefferson Street
Louisville, KY 40202



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Paul Selby", is written over a horizontal line.

Petition of North Central Telephone Cooperative Corporation, For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement with American Cellular Corporation f/k/a ACC Kentucky License LLC, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Case No. 2006-00252

Petition of South Central Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc., For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With Celco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Case No. 2006-00255

Petition of Foothills Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc., For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With Celco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Case No. 2006-00292

Petition of Brandenburg Telephone Company For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With Celco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Case No. 2006-00288

Petition of Gearheart Communications Inc. d/b/a
 Coalfields Telephone Company, For Arbitration of
 Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed
 Interconnection Agreement With Cellco
 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless
 of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon
 Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership
 d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant To the
 Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the
 Telecommunications Act of 1996

Case No. 2006-00294

Petition of Mountain Rural Telephone Cooperative
 Corporation, Inc., For Arbitration of Certain Terms
 and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection
 Agreement With Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon
 Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest
 Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and
 Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon
 Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of
 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications
 Act of 1996

Case No. 2006-00296

Petition of Peoples Rural Telephone Cooperative
 Corporation, Inc., For Arbitration of Certain Terms
 and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection
 Agreement With Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon
 Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest
 Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and
 Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon
 Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of
 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications
 Act of 1996

Case No. 2006-00298

Petition of Thacker-Grigsby Telephone Company,
 Inc., For Arbitration of Certain Terms and
 Conditions of Proposed Interconnection
 Agreement With Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon
 Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest
 Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and
 Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon
 Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of
 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications
 Act of 1996

Case No. 2006-00300

**AFFIDAVIT OF ELAINE CRITIDES IN SUPPORT
OF T-MOBILE'S PETITION FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT**

Elaine Critides, being first duly sworn on oath, states as follows:

1. I am a Senior Attorney for Celco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership ("Verizon Wireless"), Respondents in the instant proceeding. In my capacity I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth in this affidavit and am authorized to make this affidavit on behalf of Verizon Wireless.

2. Verizon Wireless is requesting confidential treatment for Exhibit 1 to its Response to each of Petitioner's First Information Request, which includes information regarding minutes of usage ("MOU") exchanged between Verizon Wireless and each Petitioner. This information has been attached to the affidavit as Exhibit 1.

3. Exhibit 1 contains proprietary information that would aid competitors of Verizon Wireless, and such trade secret information is subject to protection from disclosure pursuant to Kentucky law. *See* KRS 61.870, et seq.

4. The specific Exhibit Verizon Wireless proposes to file would reveal proprietary information. This information constitutes a trade secret because it is commercial information related to market share and market penetration that, if disclosed, could cause substantial competitive harm to Verizon Wireless. This information is either not publicly available or not generally available in this format. It would be difficult (or impossible) for someone to discover this information from other sources. If this information were available to competitors in this format, they could use it to the competitive detriment of Verizon Wireless.

5. Unlike incumbent telephone companies who are typically subject to a high degree of regulation, wireless providers like Verizon Wireless operate in a highly competitive marketplace where such proprietary information is closely guarded to ensure it is not disclosed to competitors.

6. This information is not generally disclosed to non-management employees of Verizon Wireless, and is protected internally by Verizon Wireless as proprietary information.

FURTHER YOUR AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.



Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 6th day of September, 2006.



Notary Public




EXHIBIT 1 TO VERIZON WIRELESS' RESPONSE TO PETITIONERS'
INTERROGATORIES AND DOCUMENT REQUESTS
(MOUNTAIN)

PETITIONER	TIME PERIOD	M-L TRAFFIC	L-M TRAFFIC
-------------------	--------------------	--------------------	--------------------

Mountain

Verizon Wireless does not have software that would allow it to measure and bill traffic for intercarrier compensation purposes. However, Verizon Wireless has pulled raw switch data for Verizon Wireless switches that serve the applicable areas. Verizon Wireless has endeavored to exclude mobile-to-land traffic that is delivered via wholesale interexchange carrier subject to applicable access tariffs, but that is done by looking at call translations information rather than call records, so it is possible that there is some such traffic included in the "M-L Traffic" Column. Verizon Wireless has provided the most recently available month for which data was complete and appeared to be accurate.